NAHR User Group Meeting Minutes

Date of meeting: 15th May 2016 20:00
Venue: Conference Call
Present: Peter Howard, Jon Conroy, Marcus Bankes, Tony Andrade, Matt Wilson
Apologies: Max Fehily, Tim Board

1. Peter Howard was welcomed as the new NAHR subcommittee chairman

2. Minutes from last meeting
   a. Accepted by all

3. Update International Advisor/ Industry Liaison – Tony Andrade
   a. Funding agreed from:
      i. Smith and Nephew 10k
      ii. Stryker 5K
      iii. Waiting for Conmed
   b. TA mentioned Industry want short reports as condition of funding see also 5a
   c. TA mentioned funding for femoro-acetabular surgery is to be suspended in Australia from November 2016
   d. Consensus group due to meet in Coventry under Damian Griffin in anticipation of this.
   e. MB questioned what funding should be used for and surgeon and felt patient engagement and NAHR promotion should be primary use. All agreed. PH said BHS could continue funding registry website.

4. Data Quality and Completeness – Tim Board – emailed prior to meeting with the following
   a. Comments from my side are that I am planning a data quality audit of our own data along similar lines to the NJR data quality and completeness audits.
   b. I would like to ask if any one else would volunteer to do this in their departments.
   c. PH was supportive of local audits. This would be good test of coding.
   d. MB says code W844 (with hip joint – Z843) is most appropriate code.
   e. Wrightington would be good place to perform pilot audit study.

5. 2017 Annual Report/ Industry Reports – Matt Wilson
   a. TA said industry would like a brief summary of numbers of submitted surgeons and range of procedures to keep them compliant with funding.
   b. MW said that planning for the 2017 report should start at the next Meeting. He will request a dataset at that time and consider what
6. Surgeon engagement – Max Fehily – emailed prior to meeting with below
   a. I recently met with BUPA again and in light of the motion passed at
      the BHS, they have written a letter to go out to all consultants
      carrying out arthroscopies. At the moment it is with their MSK
      section awaiting approval.
   b. Once that goes out then we can use it to approach the other
      insurers.
   c. I am waiting for the contact details of PHIN and I will approach
      them about including engagement on their website when it is
      published next year.

7. Individual surgeon feedback reports – Marcus Bankes
   a. Things have improved with Marcus’ interaction with David Selvey,
      Clinical Director for Amplitude.
   b. David Selvey has emailed Marcus with new test widgets which can
      be accessed at https://secure.amplitude-
      registry.com/HipRegistryTest/Reporting
   c. MB has request all members review this and give an opinion.

8. Newsletter/ Website – Jon Conroy
   a. JC said he could remove Spire logo from website and replace with
      sponsors logos once we have them. These will go on the Amplitude
      login page and any future surgeon and patient engagement
      websites
   b. NAHR report is available for download from NAHR report
   c. Newsletter went out prior to BHS.
   d. Moving forward Newsletters should be released when there new
      developments to share with surgeons.

9. Other business
   a. TORUS
      i. Potential plans to move NAHR under Torus umbrella mean
         BOA will request access to NAHR data
      ii. TA expressed concerns about this and that minimal dataset
         was unlikely to allow outliers to be identified in the
         foreseeable future
      iii. PH suggested anonymised surgeon-level data would be
          reasonable to release to BOA on request.
      iv. All agreed that membership of TORUS was a good for the
          profile of the NAHR and if needed a motion could be
          proposed the the next BHS meeting asking if data release as
          above was acceptable.

10. Date of next meeting
    a. Monday 5th September 2016 20.30